Severe Ebola virus disease with vascular leakage and multiorgan failure: treatment of a patient in intensive care
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Summary

Background In the current epidemic of Ebola virus disease in western Africa, many aid workers have become infected. Some of these aid workers have been transferred to specialised hospitals in Europe and the USA for intensified treatment, providing the potential for unique insight into the clinical course of Ebola virus disease under optimised supportive measures in isolation units.

Methods A 38-year-old male doctor who had contracted an Ebola virus infection in Sierra Leone was airlifted to University Hospital Frankfurt, Germany, on day 5 after disease onset. Within 72 h of admission to the hospital’s high-level isolation unit, the patient developed signs of severe multiorgan failure, including lungs, kidneys, and gastrointestinal tract. In addition to clinical parameters, the diagnostic work-up included radiography, ultrasound, pulse contour cardiac output technology, and microbiological and clinical chemistry analyses. Respiratory failure with pulmonary oedema and biophysical evidence of vascular leak syndrome needed mechanical ventilation. The patient received a 3 day treatment course with FX06 (MChE-F4Pharma, Vienna, Austria), a fibrin-derived peptide under clinical development for vascular leak syndrome. After FX06 administration and concurrent detection of Ebola-virus-specific antibodies and a fall in viral load, vascular leak syndrome and respiratory parameters substantially improved. We gave broad-spectrum empiric antimicrobial therapy and the patient needed intermittent renal replacement therapy. The patient fully recovered.

Findings This case report shows the feasibility of delivery of successful intensive care therapy to patients with Ebola virus disease under biosafety level 4 conditions.

Interpretation The effective treatment of vascular leakage and multiorgan failure by combination of ventilatory support, antibiotic treatment, and renal replacement therapy can sustain a patient with severe Ebola virus disease until virological remission. FX06 could potentially be a valuable agent in contribution to supportive therapy.

Funding University Hospital of Frankfurt.

Introduction An epidemic caused by the Zaire strain of Ebola virus has struck three western African countries (Guinea, Sierra Leone, and Liberia) since December, 2013. Several aid workers infected with Ebola virus were evacuated to specialised clinical units in Europe and the USA. Four case reports have documented the necessity for effective volume replacement in the treatment of Ebola virus disease. The development of Gram-negative septicemia and the need for non-invasive ventilation have also been reported. In this report, we describe management of a very severe case of Ebola virus disease with the application of invasive ventilation, renal replacement therapy, and management of vascular leak syndrome.

Patient history The patient, a 38-year-old male doctor originally from Uganda, had worked in Lakka, Sierra Leone, for 3 years and was in charge of a treatment unit for Ebola virus disease. On Sept 28, 2014, he developed acute fever (38.3°C) and four episodes of diarrhoea. He tested positive for Ebola virus with RNA PCR on the same day (day 1 of disease onset). No particular exposure was known and the source of infection remains unclear. The initial PCR result from serum was positive for Ebola virus with a cycle threshold value of 31–61. Malaria was excluded by antigen testing. Over the next 4 days, the patient developed nausea and vomiting and complained about increasing myalgia and malaise. On the basis of in-vitro data suggesting an antiviral effect of amiodarone, the patient self-initiated treatment with 400 mg amiodarone orally followed roughly 6 h later by an intravenous bolus of 1200 mg and then a continuous infusion of 1200 mg over 24 h. In the Lakka treatment unit, he also received oral rehydration solution, 1 L of Ringer lactate substituted with 15 mmol potassium three times daily, and one dose of 2 g ceftriaxone daily from day 1 of the disease. Paracetamol 1 g and hyoscine bromide 20 mg intravenously were given as needed. The patient was airlifted to Frankfurt University Hospital for intensified treatment and arrived on day 6 after disease onset, having received fluids, metamizole (orally), and morphine (intravenously) during the flight. We recorded no important past medical history except for several episodes of malaria. The patient was not receiving any regular medication.
Treatment setting
The patient was treated in a negative pressure room. Staff used positive pressure suits, boots, and a respirator hood as personal protective equipment whenever entering the isolation room. The respirator would only allow high-efficiency particulate absorption-filtered air into the hood and the suits. A triple layer of gloves including thick butyl nitrate gloves as the middle layer were applied. At least two members of staff were inside the isolation room permanently and did regular buddy checks of the personal protective equipment.

Findings on admission
On admission, the patient was extremely weak but fully orientated. His body temperature was 39.0°C. He complained of severe myalgia and abdominal pain. His blood pressure was normal (132/92 mm Hg) with tachycardia of 120 beats per minute. An electrocardiogram showed a sinus rhythm without abnormalities and a QTc interval of 431 ms. He needed 3 L/min of oxygen during transportation. An initial blood test obtained during the evacuation flight was reported. Liver enzymes were elevated (alanine transaminase 68 U/L, reference 10–47; aspartate transaminase 338 U/L, reference 11–38) with a normal bilirubin concentration (9 μmol/L, reference 3–25 (0·5 mg/dL, reference 0·2–1·6)). The Ebola virus plasma viral load was 1·84 × 10⁸ RNA copies per mL. We determined virus load according to a standard curve established by the National EBOV Reference Laboratory at Marburg, Department of Virology.

After arrival in Frankfurt we discontinued amiodarone treatment because of potential cardiac side-effects and unclear antiviral potency in vivo, in view of the relatively high in-vitro half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) value of 0·25 μg/mL.¹

Liver enzymes were elevated (alanine transaminase 68 U/L, reference 10–47; aspartate transaminase 338 U/L, reference 11–38) with a normal bilirubin concentration (9 μmol/L, reference 3–25 (0·5 mg/dL, reference 0·2–1·6)). The Ebola virus plasma viral load was 1·84 × 10⁸ RNA copies per mL. We determined virus load according to a standard curve established by the National EBOV Reference Laboratory at Marburg, Department of Virology.

After arrival in Frankfurt we discontinued amiodarone treatment because of potential cardiac side-effects and unclear antiviral potency in vivo, in view of the relatively high in-vitro half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) value of 0·25 μg/mL.¹

Liver enzymes were elevated (alanine transaminase 68 U/L, reference 10–47; aspartate transaminase 338 U/L, reference 11–38) with a normal bilirubin concentration (9 μmol/L, reference 3–25 (0·5 mg/dL, reference 0·2–1·6)). The Ebola virus plasma viral load was 1·84 × 10⁸ RNA copies per mL. We determined virus load according to a standard curve established by the National EBOV Reference Laboratory at Marburg, Department of Virology.

After arrival in Frankfurt we discontinued amiodarone treatment because of potential cardiac side-effects and unclear antiviral potency in vivo, in view of the relatively high in-vitro half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) value of 0·25 μg/mL.¹

Liver enzymes were elevated (alanine transaminase 68 U/L, reference 10–47; aspartate transaminase 338 U/L, reference 11–38) with a normal bilirubin concentration (9 μmol/L, reference 3–25 (0·5 mg/dL, reference 0·2–1·6)). The Ebola virus plasma viral load was 1·84 × 10⁸ RNA copies per mL. We determined virus load according to a standard curve established by the National EBOV Reference Laboratory at Marburg, Department of Virology.

After arrival in Frankfurt we discontinued amiodarone treatment because of potential cardiac side-effects and unclear antiviral potency in vivo, in view of the relatively high in-vitro half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) value of 0·25 μg/mL.¹

Liver enzymes were elevated (alanine transaminase 68 U/L, reference 10–47; aspartate transaminase 338 U/L, reference 11–38) with a normal bilirubin concentration (9 μmol/L, reference 3–25 (0·5 mg/dL, reference 0·2–1·6)). The Ebola virus plasma viral load was 1·84 × 10⁸ RNA copies per mL. We determined virus load according to a standard curve established by the National EBOV Reference Laboratory at Marburg, Department of Virology.

After arrival in Frankfurt we discontinued amiodarone treatment because of potential cardiac side-effects and unclear antiviral potency in vivo, in view of the relatively high in-vitro half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) value of 0·25 μg/mL.¹

Liver enzymes were elevated (alanine transaminase 68 U/L, reference 10–47; aspartate transaminase 338 U/L, reference 11–38) with a normal bilirubin concentration (9 μmol/L, reference 3–25 (0·5 mg/dL, reference 0·2–1·6)). The Ebola virus plasma viral load was 1·84 × 10⁸ RNA copies per mL. We determined virus load according to a standard curve established by the National EBOV Reference Laboratory at Marburg, Department of Virology.

After arrival in Frankfurt we discontinued amiodarone treatment because of potential cardiac side-effects and unclear antiviral potency in vivo, in view of the relatively high in-vitro half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) value of 0·25 μg/mL.¹

Liver enzymes were elevated (alanine transaminase 68 U/L, reference 10–47; aspartate transaminase 338 U/L, reference 11–38) with a normal bilirubin concentration (9 μmol/L, reference 3–25 (0·5 mg/dL, reference 0·2–1·6)). The Ebola virus plasma viral load was 1·84 × 10⁸ RNA copies per mL. We determined virus load according to a standard curve established by the National EBOV Reference Laboratory at Marburg, Department of Virology.

After arrival in Frankfurt we discontinued amiodarone treatment because of potential cardiac side-effects and unclear antiviral potency in vivo, in view of the relatively high in-vitro half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) value of 0·25 μg/mL.¹

Liver enzymes were elevated (alanine transaminase 68 U/L, reference 10–47; aspartate transaminase 338 U/L, reference 11–38) with a normal bilirubin concentration (9 μmol/L, reference 3–25 (0·5 mg/dL, reference 0·2–1·6)). The Ebola virus plasma viral load was 1·84 × 10⁸ RNA copies per mL. We determined virus load according to a standard curve established by the National EBOV Reference Laboratory at Marburg, Department of Virology.
Pulmonary failure caused by vascular leakage

On admission (day 6), the patient needed 3 L/min oxygen via a face mask, resulting in an arterial PaO₂ of 10–75 kPa. On day 9, his respiratory condition deteriorated. Although we introduced non-invasive ventilation (positive end-expiratory pressure 10 mbar, change in assisted spontaneous breathing 1 mbar) and increased the amount of oxygen to 3.5 L/min, his PaO₂ decreased further to 8.53 kPa within several hours. Clinically, the patient had dyspnoea associated with orthopnoea and hyperventilation (>40 breaths per min). Auscultation was not possible in the personal protective equipment. The pleural effusions progressed bilaterally to 3 cm and 3.5 cm. There was no progress of the pericardial effusion and no further decrease of the ejection fraction. Chest radiographs on day 6 and day 8 showed increasing signs of a pulmonary oedema. Early in the morning of day 9, sufficient oxygen uptake was no longer possible, resulting in the need for oral intubation and mechanical ventilation. The first arterial blood gas on the ventilator with an FIO₂ of 50% showed a PaO₂ of 8.93 kPa and a normal PCO₂.

Procedures

Chest radiography

All chest radiographs were taken in the anterior–posterior plane and scored by consensus of two fully certified radiologists, using a scoring system modified from the Murray lung injury score and the Ware data without knowledge of clinical data. Cassettes were encased and sealed in double plastic sheeting before being brought into the isolation unit. On the way out, cassettes were disinfected in a multistep procedure with a virucidal agent (Perform; Schuelke, Nordersted, Germany), leaving the plastic sheeting inside the unit.

Intubation

Wearing a full protective suit with triple gloves and respirator hood makes oral intubation a very challenging task. In preparation, we put the patient in a sitting position on non-invasive ventilation with 100% oxygen. A suction unit was established and in reach. In a rapid manoeuvre, the patient received 0.2 μg fentanyl, 200 mg propofol, and 80 mg rocuronium. After 60 s, we brought the patient into a supine position and did a laryngoscopy. The oral cavity was very dry without mucositis and the glottis area was full of thick mucous fibres, which had to be removed to achieve good vision of the vocal cords. The 8·0 tube (Vygon; Aachen, Germany) could be placed in the trachea and the black marks on the tube encircled the vocal cords. Immediately, we established mechanical ventilation. During the whole procedure, peripheral oxygen saturation was above 90%. Using laryngoscopic guidance, we successfully placed a gastric feeding tube.

Validation of a correct tracheal intubation proved difficult under these conditions. Auscultation was not possible, with peripheral oxygen saturation and ventilation synchronous movements of the thorax being the only indirect signs. Continuous measurement of end tidal CO₂ concentrations was therefore desirable, but was not available in our isolation unit.

Arteriovenous access

Because of the inability to feel any pulsation when wearing protective gloves, we used a sonography-assisted approach. Under strictly aseptic conditions, we placed an arterial line into the brachial artery for measurements with fibre optical thermodilution catheter technique (PiCCO). A similar approach was done for insertion of central lines and Shaldon catheters into the internal jugular veins, which were needed for intravenous drug administration and renal replacement therapy, respectively.

Measurement of vascular leakage

Usually, vascular leakage is accompanied by serious hypotension, commonly associated with the need of intravenous infusion and vasopressor therapy. In our case, the patient received norepinephrine 0.5 μg/kg per min to maintain blood pressure. An instant and objective bedside quantification of pulmonary vascular leak can be determined with the extravascular lung water index (EVLWI) as determined by the PiCCO system. The patient’s EVLWI went from 12 mL/kg after intubation (normal range 3–7) to 25 mL/kg within 3 days (days 9–12; figure 1). Vascular leak syndrome can lead to multiorgan failure induced by oedematous tissue damage (ie, renal failure and liver insufficiency).

Treatments

Use of FX06

Because the patient’s pulmonary vascular leak syndrome was worsening, the ethics committee of Frankfurt University Hospital approved the use of a fibrin-derived peptide, Bβ₁₅–₄₂ (FX06; MChE-F4Pharma, Vienna, Austria), which is under clinical development for vascular leak syndrome, in the attempt to prevent further leakage. On day 11, the patient received 400 mg FX06 intravenously (200 mg as a slow bolus injection followed 10 min later by an additional 200 mg bolus injection) as a starting dose, plus 200 mg intravenous bolus every 12 h for three consecutive days. We paused renal replacement therapy for 30 min after each application to avoid premature clearance from the blood.

An emergency kit was dispatched from the storage facility at Bachem (Philadelphia, PA, USA). For future compassionate use, an emergency stock has now been entrusted to the University Hospital Frankfurt for rapid supply within Europe. In the USA, the FX06 is available on short notice from Bachem on a compassionate use basis; all documentations, clinical, and preclinical data are available from the manufacturer by request.
**Intermittent use of favipiravir**

The RNA polymerase inhibitor favipiravir was available for compassionate use. After approval by the ethics committee, we started a treatment attempt, aiming for a dose of 2400 mg twice daily. However, because of the critical gastrointestinal situation and recurrent nausea, the patient was unable to ingest the tablets accordingly and only received 400 mg on day 8 and 1200 mg of favipiravir on day 9 of illness. Because of the development of renal failure and the lack of safety data for patients on renal replacement therapy, we stopped the drug after day 9. No other experimental substances were used.

**Treatment of renal failure**

Initially, our patient had oliguric renal failure that could be salvaged with intravenous fluids. The fluid balance on the first day of treatment was positive with 4100 mL. From day 9 onwards, however, the patient became anuric. Ultrasound of the kidneys showed enlarged organs with a hypersonic parenchyma and no hydrenephrosis. We initiated a continuous haemodiafiltration (Fresenius CiCa Multifiltrate; Fresenius, Bad Homburg, Germany). The calcium-citrate approach chosen bore less of a risk for haemorrhage. This therapy was given continuously up to day 27. Urine production restarted on day 22. Because phosphate was still elevated, the patient was continued with intermittent haemodialysis every 48 h until day 37.

An important practical aspect of renal replacement therapy was the disposal of liquid waste. Although only one of three waste samples tested positive for Ebola virus RNA (428 copies per mL on day 10; negative on days 18 and 23), the complete liquid waste had to be autoclaved according to local regulations.

On day 13—after the haemodynamic situation had already stabilised—we used a haemofiltration cartridge (Haemopurifier; Aethlon, San Diego, CA, USA) for 6.5 h upstream to the regular system. This cartridge is designed to bind viral glycoproteins by lectin affinity. This one-time use was well tolerated. PCR measurements taken directly before and after the use showed virus loads of $2.29 \times 10^5$ copies per mL and $7.66 \times 10^4$ copies per mL, respectively. This difference of less than a factor of 3 is within the technical variability range of the RNA PCR assay for Ebola virus and cannot be judged as a notable decrease. Moreover, the use of the filter had no effect on the overall decay kinetics of plasma viraemia in the 4-day period surrounding its application: From day 11 to day 14, viraemia decreased from $3.20 \times 10^7$ to $6.08 \times 10^3$ RNA copies per mL, and the anti-Ebola-virus IgG titres increased drastically (figure 2).
Figure 3: Laboratory parameters shown over the days of illness (days 6–30)

(A) Blood count with platelet concentration and administered red blood cell concentrates. (B) Liver values and serum amylase concentrations. (C) Creatine kinase, albumin, and bilirubin. Numerical values are shown in the tables below the graphs.
**Antimicrobial therapy**

Bacterial infection is an important complication in severe Ebola virus disease. Although little information about resistance patterns is available, the use of cephalosporins is high in Sierra Leone, and reports have shown the presence of extended spectrum β-lactamase resistance (Grünewald T, unpublished data). Hence, we started treatment with imipenem-cilastatin on admission. The patient developed a paralytic ileus accompanied by intestinal oedema, and a three-layered gallbladder with pericystic oedema, all representing sources of bacterial translocation. Because the inflammatory parameters were rising, we switched from imipenem-cilastin to meropenem and metronidazole (day 9), and later added colistin and anidulafungin (day 10). The patient’s clinical condition deteriorated further and inflammatory parameters rose, with C-reactive protein concentrations of 406 mg/L (reference <7), leucocytosis (19·5×10⁹/L, reference 3·8–11·0), and a notable neutrophilia (fraction 0·705) on day 13 (figure 2). Suspecting peritonitis and cholecystitis, we added tigecycline. In the further course, the inflammatory parameters decreased gradually. We gave metronidazole until day 16, tigecycline until day 19, and colistin until day 25, whereas meropenem was given until day 30. Linezolid was added from day 20 to 24 as a substitute for tigecycline to avoid nausea during weaning from mechanical ventilation.

Bacterial cultures could be processed within the isolation area by doctors specialised in infectious diseases and medical microbiology laboratory staff. Repetitive blood and urine cultures and cultures from sputum and pleural effusions did not show growth of relevant pathogens. There was only one coagulase-negative staphylococcus in one of two blood culture bottles from day 11. Anidulafungin-resistant *Candida parapsilosis* was repeatedly detected in pharyngeal swabs. Hence, we used fluconazole as a substitute for anidulafungin on day 20 for another 4 days. Interestingly, despite the severe abdominal disease, we did not detect Gram-negative septicaemia, although a systemic inflammatory response syndrome was apparent.

**Further course of the disease**

Figure 1 shows the development of vascular leak syndrome through EVLWI, systemic vascular resistance index, radiograph score, and dosing of norepinephrine. The development of EVLWI peaked at days 11 and 12 with an index value of 25 mL/kg. We gave FX06 from day 11 to 13, and noted improvement of the EVLWI from day 13 onwards. Comparison of the chest radiographs from day 11 and 13 (figure 1) showed improvement of oedema and effusions. The patient needed fairly high doses of norepinephrine immediately after intubation (1·8 mg/h), but subsequently the required doses were low with a maximum of 0·3 mg/h. No vasopressors were needed from day 16 onwards. The patient improved continuously and extubation was possible on day 22.

The observed clinical improvement from day 13 onwards coincided with a decrease of the Ebola virus plasma load and an increase in the titre of anti-Ebola-virus IgG (figure 2). Plasma viraemia fell continuously after day 8 and became undetectable on day 19. Almost simultaneously, the viral RNA in stool samples became undetectable (figure 2). Interestingly, although concentrations of Ebola virus RNA in urine had dropped below the limit of detection on day 24, a PCR signal corresponding to 1·91×10⁶ RNA copies per mL was noted on day 25. This fluctuation between low and undetectable levels in the urinary tract might underlie the reported viral persistence in seminal fluid.

The course of the laboratory parameters is shown in figure 3. The inflammatory parameters decreased after day 12 for C-reactive protein and day 14 for interleukin 6. Despite an initial leucopenia, both white blood cell count and neutrophil count rose until day 15 and 13, respectively, and subsequently normalised. The platelet count was decreased from day 9 onwards and the patient needed 9 units of platelet concentrates until day 17. We noted no signs of disseminated bleeding, and only the insertion site of the central venous catheter introduced on day 6 showed lengthy bleeding; repeated haemoccult tests were negative. The patient received 13 units of red blood cell concentrates until day 17 in response to decreasing haemoglobin concentrations (figure 3). Markers of hepatocyte integrity, including aspartate transaminase and alanine transaminase, were initially elevated and improved after day 10. Of note, direct bilirubinaemia developed later, with a peak at day 23. Albumin concentrations were decreased to levels as low as 19 g/L (reference range 35–52 [1·9 mg/dL, reference range 3·5–5·2 g/dL]), although the patient received albumin substitution until day 20, after which he improved. After the fall in Ebola virus plasma load, the patient improved gradually in all aspects.

**Discussion**

This case report shows the feasibility of providing successful intensive care treatment to a patient with Ebola virus disease under biosafety level 4 isolation conditions. Despite the development of vascular leak syndrome and a consecutive multiorgan failure, we managed to support the patient until the development of a potent, adaptive immune response could effectively control the infection. The ensuing respiratory failure was demonstrated by clinical parameters, blood gas analysis, and chest radiograph and by invasive haemodynamic monitoring including EVLWI. Of note, in hyperdynamic systemic inflammatory response syndrome conditions, transpulmonary thermodilution parameters (eg, the global end-diastolic volume index) might not be sufficiently reliable.

Vascular leakage can lead to multiorgan failure induced by oedematous tissue damage. The question of whether the release of cytokines or interaction of viral proteins
with the endothelium itself causes the leakage in Ebola virus disease is still under debate. Early in infection, the cytokines released from infected macrophages are thought to induce leakage, because in animal models infection of the endothelium is negligible at this timepoint. Later, however, virus can also be detected in endothelia and might then contribute to vascular leak syndrome. The endovascular permeability failure in vascular leak syndrome can lead to the loss of intravascular proteins such as coagulation factors, and cellular deficits such as thrombocytopenia. The shift of intravascular fluids into lungs, pleura, or peritoneum (EVLWI was always <15 mL/kg) was documented. He died on day 13.

FX06, a peptidic compound under clinical development for vascular leak syndrome, was given (to our knowledge) for the first time to a patient with Ebola virus disease, and this treatment coincided with a substantial improvement of both vascular leak syndrome parameters and respiratory function. The safety and efficacy of FX06 in the treatment of vascular leak syndrome has previously been explored in animal models of lipopolysaccharide-induced and dengue haemorrhagic shock. The substance was well tolerated in a phase 2 clinical trial in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction. In our patient, we noted no signs of tolerability problems or compromised safety. The improvement of the vascular leak syndrome, however, also coincided with a decrease in the plasma viral load. Shortly after this case, a patient with severe Ebola virus disease (who had been airlifted to Hospital St Georg in Leipzig, Germany) was treated with FX06 from day 11 until 13 after disease onset. After day 10, this patient had diffuse haemorrhage. No compartmentalisation of fluids into lungs, pleura, or peritoneum (EVLWI was always <15 mL/kg) was documented. He died on day 13.

On the basis of our experience, we feel that FX06 warrants further evaluation in the treatment of vascular leak syndrome in Ebola virus disease. Up to this point, two dosing regimens for FX06 have been tested: continuous infusion or, alternatively, two bolus injections every 12 h in patients with kidney failure. FX06 bulk material can presently be supplied by the manufacturer, which would then have to be prepared by a pharmacy on site. Stability data are available for 6 months’ storage at a temperature of 25°C.

The cause of the renal failure in our patient was not fully elucidated because of the limitations in available diagnostics. Initially, substantial erythrocyturia and proteinuria were detectable, which can be indicative of nephritis. Glomerular and tubular necrosis in addition to interstitial lymphocytosis have been documented post mortem in kidney tissues of patients who died of Ebola virus disease. On admission, our patient was severely volume depleted, which probably contributed to his kidney failure.

Severe abdominal symptoms are one of the most frequent manifestations of Ebola virus disease in the current epidemic. Similarly, gastrointestinal failure with haemorrhage, nausea, and anorexia has been reported in patients with vascular leak syndrome. Initially, our patient showed features of paralytic ileus, pancreatic enzymes. The pathogenesis of these could in principle be related directly to Ebola virus infection, the vascular leak syndrome-induced oedema of the intestinal wall, or secondary bacterial peritonitis. Under a regimen of broad-spectrum antibiotic therapy, no major bacterial complications occurred. Whether the cause of these gastrointestinal symptoms was mainly viral or bacterial thus remains unclear.

This report emphasises the complexity and specific challenges of intensive care treatment of patients with Ebola virus disease (comparison of reported cases shown in appendix). We suggest FX06 as a potentially valuable therapeutic candidate for vascular leak syndrome in Ebola virus disease. In view of the urgency for action in light of the current epidemic, where validated therapies are desperately needed, the efficacy of FX06 should soon be assessed in clinical trials or at least by standardised collection of data from patients with Ebola virus disease who received it in a compassionate use setting.
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